| 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|---|
| 15 | Madhya Pradesh | 2740 |
| 16 | Maharashtra | 2292 |
| 17 | Manipur | 464 |
| 18 | Meghalaya | 199 |
| 19 | Mizoram | 257 |
| 20 | Nagaland | 132 |
| 21 | Orissa | 1287 |
| 22 | Punjab | 419 |
| 23 | Rajasthan | 1032 |
| 24 | Sikkim | 200 |
| 25 | Tamil Nadu | 1928 |
| 26 | Tripura | 340 |
| 27 | Uttar Pradesh | 1868 |
| 28 | Uttaranchal | 738 |
| 29 | West Bengal | 2003 |
| 30 | Andaman and Nicobar | 103 |
| 31 | Chandigarh | 80 |
| 32 | Dadra and Nagar Haveli | 13 |
| 33 | Daman and Diu | 4 |
| 3 | Lakshadweep | 84 |
| 35 | Pondicherry | 246 |
| 36 | BAJSS* | 300 |
| | TOTAL: | 30902 |

*300 creches were sanctioned to Bharatiya Adim Jati Sevak Sangh, New Delhi for camps, displaced, settled groups, denotified UTs, HIV/Sex workers, North Eastern Region.

**Construction of hostels for working women**

2289. SHRI VARINDER SINGH BAJWA: Will the Minister of WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of working women's hostels constructed in the country so far and during 2007-08, State-wise;

(b) the number of such hostels proposed to be constructed during 2008-09 and 2009-10, State-wise;

(c) the Central assistance given for such projects during the last three years, ending 31st March, 2007, State-wise; and

(d) whether utilization certificates in respect of such projects have been received from the concerned parties and if so, up to which financial year?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY): (a) The number of working women's hostels sanctioned for construction as on 31.3.2007, State-wise, is given in Statement-I (*See* below). One case is being processed for sanction.

(b) This will depend upon the number of eligible proposals received.

(c) A Statement-II is annexed (*See* below).

(d) The next installment is released to any grantee organization only on receipt of utilization certificate for provious installment. The number of hostels in respect of which completion certificates have not been received is also indicated in Statement referred to in reply to part (a) above.

***Statement-I***

*Distribution of working women's hostels as on 31.3.2007*

| Sl. No. | State/U.T. | Total Sanctioned Hostels | | Incomplete Hostels | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. of Hostels | No. of Working Women | No. of Hostels | No. of Working Women |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 48 | 3182 | 15 | 1124 |
| 2. | Arunachal Pradesh | 10 | 441 | 8 | 383 |
| 3. | Assam | 14 | 799 | 7 | 356 |
| 4. | Bihar | 6 | 266 | 3 | 157 |
| 5. | Chhattisgarh | 9 | 426 | 4 | 236 |
| 6. | Goa | 2 | 120 | – | – |
| 7. | Gujarat | 26 | 1218 | 2 | 95 |
| 8. | Haryana | 20 | 1561 | 7 | 614 |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 13 | 477 | 1 | 92 |
| 10. | Jammu and Kashmir | 5 | 360 | 3 | 283 |
| 11. | Jharkhand | 2 | 214 | – | – |
| 12. | Karnataka | 48 | 4457 | 24 | 2245 |
| 13. | Kerala | 146 | 13295 | 20 | 2324 |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | 62 | 3438 | 14 | 1066 |
| 15. | Maharashtra | 132 | 9652 | 42 | 3496 |
| 16. | Manipur | 14 | 687 | 3 | 159 |
| 17. | Meghalaya | 3 | 214 | 1 | 80 |
| 18. | Mizoram | 4 | 149 | 2 | 89 |
| 19. | Nagaland | 14 | 771 | 10 | 448 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 20 | Orissa | 29 | 1825 | 15 | 890 |
| 21. | Punjab | 14 | 1417 | 3 | 289 |
| 22. | Rajasthan | 39 | 1868 | 9 | 392 |
| 23. | Sikkim | 2 | 144 | – | – |
| 24. | Tamil Nadu | 95 | 6400 | 28 | 2738 |
| 25. | Tripura | 1 | 50 | 1 | 50 |
| 26. | Uttar Pradesh | 40 | 3130 | 18 | 1617 |
| 27. | Uttaranchal | 7 | 538 | 4 | 230 |
| 28. | West Bengal | 38 | 2639 | 15 | 1079 |
| | TOTAL STATES: | 843 | 59738 | 259 | 20532 |
| | **Union Territories** | | | | |
| 1. | A and N Islands | – | – | – | – |
| 2. | Chandigarh | 7 | 736 | 2 | 256 |
| 3. | Delhi | 20 | 3086 | 4 | 921 |
| 4. | Pondicherry | 4 | 221 | 2 | 127 |
| | TOTAL UTs: | 30 | 3543 | 8 | 1304 |
| | ALL INDIA TOTAL: | 874 | 63781 | 267 | 21836 |

***Statement-II***

*State-wise central assistance given for the projects*

| Sl. No. | State | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 12.08 | | |
| 2. | Chhattisgarh | 2.08 | | |
| 3. | Haryana | 16.87 | 26.47 | |
| 4. | Himachal Pradesh | 13.21 | | |
| 5. | Karnataka | 32.85 | 84.30 | 9.00 |
| 6. | Kerala | 158.64 | 27.57 | 13.86 |
| 7. | Madhya Pradesh | 66.60 | – | 60.75 |
| 8. | Maharashtra | 77.00 | 9.18 | |
| 9. | Orissa | 7.94 | | |
| 10. | Punjab | | 16.28 | |
| 11. | Rajasthan | | 3.78 | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12. | Tamil Nadu | 47.80 | 25.50 | 46.25 |
| 13. | Uttar Pradesh | 24.53 | 28.02 | 3.53 |
| 14. | West Bengal | 13.86 | | |
| 15. | Uttaranchal | | 2.80 | |
| 16. | Delhi | | – | 54.67 |
| | TOTAL: | 473.46 | 223.90 | 188.06 |

**Working women's hostels in Madhya Pradesh**

†2290. SHRIMATI MAYA SINGH: Will the Minister of WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Madhya Pradesh Government has submitted to the Central Government proposals for construction of hostels for working women;

(b) the number and details of such proposals and since when they are pending with Government;

(c) the main reasons for not giving sanction till date; and

(d) by when these proposals would be sanctioned?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY): (a) and (d) Yes, Sir. The State Government had forwarded one proposal in August, 2005 and two in April, 2007. However, all these three proposals were incomplete. A letter requesting for the necessary documents/information to enable the Ministry to process the case further, was addressed to the State Government with a copy to the organization. No response has been received either trom the State Government or from the organization so far.

**Inter-country adoption of abandoned children**

2291. SHRIMATI SUPRIYA SULE: Will the Minister of WOMEN AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether inter-country adoption of abandoned children is being given a big push by the Central Adoption Resource Agency;

(b) whether this would prevent recurrence of incidents like the Nithari killings;

(c) whether as many as 17 States have no linkages with CARA; and

(d) whether there are rackets involving sale of children in lieu of adoption, once the child is given up for adoption; if so, whether there is a need for strict follow-up of such cases?

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.